

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

**IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM
POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES
PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

SCOTT TUFTS, Individually and as Personal
Representative of the ESTATE OF KAREN TUFTS,
deceased,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON and JOHNSON &
JOHNSON CONSUMER INC.,

Defendants.

**MDL No. 2738 (FLW) (LHG)
JUDGE FREDA L. WOLFSON
MAG. JUDGE LOIS H. GOODMAN**

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Civil Action No: 24-cv-8163

DIRECT FILED ACTION

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiff(s) named below files this *Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial* against Defendants named below by and through the undersigned counsel. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint* in *In re: Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2738 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. Plaintiff files this Short Form Complaint as permitted by Case Management Order No. 1 of this Court.

In addition to those causes of action contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint*, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiffs shall add and include them herein.

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

Identification of Plaintiffs:

1. Name of individual injured due to the use of talcum powder product(s): Karen Tufts.

2. At the time of the filing of the specific case, Plaintiff, Scott Tufts is currently residing in Ironton, Lawrence County, Ohio.

3. Survival and/or Wrongful Death Claims: Name and residence of Decedent Plaintiff when she suffered the talcum powder product(s) related death: Karen Tufts, 1719 Waldo Dr., Ironton, Lawrence County, Ohio.

4. Plaintiff/Decedent was born in 1946 and died in 2016.

5. Plaintiff, Scott Tufts is filing this case in a representative capacity.

6. As a result of using talcum powder products, Plaintiff/Decedent, Karen Tufts, suffered personal and economic injuries that are alleged to have been caused by the use of the products identified in Paragraph 16 below, but not limited to, the following:

- ✓ injury to herself
- ✓ injury to the person represented
- ✓ wrongful death
- ✓ survivorship action
- ✓ economic loss
- ✓ loss of services

_____ other: _____

Identification of Defendants:

7. Plaintiff/Decedent are suing the following Defendants (please check all that apply):

- ✓ Johnson & Johnson
- ✓ Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.

Additional Defendants:

- ✓ Other(s) Defendant(s) (please specify): LLT Management, LLC, f/k/a LTL Management, LLC, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Johnson & Johnson Holdco (NA) Inc. and Kenvue

JURISDICTION & VENUE

Jurisdiction:

8. Jurisdiction in this Short Form Complaint is based on:

- ✓ Diversity of Citizenship

____ Other (The basis of any additional ground for jurisdiction must be pled in sufficient detail as required by the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure): _____

Venue:

9. District Court and Division in which venue was proper where you might have otherwise filed this Short Form Complaint absent the direct filing Order entered by this Court and to where remand could be ordered by the Judicial Panel for trial: U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Ohio.

CASE SPECIFIC FACTS

10. Plaintiff, Scott Tufts currently resides at 1923 S. 8th Street., Ironton, Lawrence County, Ohio.

11. At the time of the Plaintiff/Decedent's diagnosis with a talcum powder products injury, Plaintiff/Decedent resided in Ironton, Lawrence County, Ohio.

12. The Plaintiff/Decedent was diagnosed with a talcum powder products injury in Ashland, Kentucky in or around 2006 with recurrences in 2009, 2010 and 2015.

13. To the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, Decedent began using talcum powder products on or about 1967 and continued the use of talcum powder products through about 2016.

14. The Plaintiff/Decedent purchased talcum powder products in the following state(s):
Ohio.

15. Plaintiff/Decedent used the following talcum powder products:

✓ Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder

_____ Shower to Shower

16. Plaintiffs hereby adopt and incorporate by reference the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* as if fully set forth herein.

17. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* are herein adopted by reference by Plaintiff:

_____ Count I: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn (Against Imerys Talc)

✓ Count II: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

_____ Count III: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against Imerys Talc)

✓ Count IV: Products Liability – Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

✓ Count V: Breach of Express Warranties (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

✓ Count VI: Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

✓ Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

_____ Count VIII: Negligence (Against Imerys Talc)

- ✓ Count IX: Negligence (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ✓ Count X: Negligence (Against PCPC)
- ✓ Count XI: Negligence Misrepresentation (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ✓ Count XII: Fraud (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ✓ Count XIII: Fraud (Against PCPC)
- ✓ Count XIV: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of the State of New York and Florida (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants).
- _____ Count XV: Fraudulent Concealment (Against Imerys Talc)
- ✓ Count XVI: Fraudulent Concealment (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- _____ Count XVII: Fraudulent Concealment (Against PCPC)
- ✓ Count XVIII: Civil Conspiracy (Against All Defendants)
- _____ Count XIX: Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)
- ✓ Count XX: Punitive Damages (Against All Defendants)
- ✓ Count XXI: Discovery Rule and Tolling (Against All Defendants)
- ✓ Count XXII: Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)
- ✓ Count XXIII: Survival Action (Against All Defendants)

_____ Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert the following additional theories and/or State Causes of Action against Defendant(s) identified in Paragraph nine (9) above. If Plaintiff(s) include additional theories of recovery, to the extent they require specificity in pleadings, the specific facts and allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief and judgment against Defendants of compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs of suit, and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just, and as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint as appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Dated: July 31, 2024

Respectfully submitted:



MICHAEL GOETZ, ESQUIRE
Florida Bar No. 963984
Morgan & Morgan
Complex Litigation Group
One Tampa City Center, 7th Floor
201 N. Franklin Street
Tampa, Florida 33602
Telephone (813) 223-5505
Facsimile (813) 223-5402
E-Mail: MGoetz@forthepeople.com
Counsel for Plaintiffs